Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Inflation and reheating in scale-invariant scalar-tensor gravity.

Giovanni Tambalo · Massimiliano Rinaldi

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract We consider the scale-invariant inflationary model studied in [1]. The Lagrangian includes all the scale-invariant operators that can be built with combinations of R, R^2 and one scalar field. The equations of motion show that the symmetry is spontaneously broken after an arbitrarily long inflationary period and a fundamental mass scale is generated. Upon symmetry breaking, and in the Jordan frame, both Hubble function and the scalar field undergo damped oscillations that can eventually amplify Standard Model fields and reheat the Universe. In the present work, we study in detail inflation and the reheating mechanism of this model in the Einstein frame and we compare some of the results with the latest observational data.

Keywords Inflation · Modified gravity · Reheating

PACS 98.80.Cq · 04.50.Kd · 04.62.+v

G. Tambalo
SISSA
via Bonomea 265,
34136 Trieste, Italy
E-mail: gtambalo@sissa.it

M. Rinaldi
Physics Department
University of Trento
Via Sommarive 14,
38123 Povo (TN), Italy

TIFPA - INFN
Via Sommarive 14,
38123 Povo (TN), Italy
E-mail: massimiliano.rinaldi@unitn.it

arXiv:1610.06478v2 [gr-qc] 28 Oct 2016

1 Introduction

The presence of an inflationary epoch [2] in the early history of our Universe is widely considered as a necessary requirement for any realistic cosmological model. This has been supported by several observations [3–5] that can be explained only if the metric undergoes a stage of exponential expansion for several e-folds. Recently, we entered in a phase of high-precision cosmological measurements, such as the ones of Planck [6], which put strong constraints on inflationary models, and are able to rule out many competing proposals.

Scale-invariant models of gravity are a source of inspiration for many inflationary mechanisms since they are able to predict for the spectral index of scalar perturbations n_s values close to 1 and thus consistent with current observations. However, in order to fit with all observables, such symmetry cannot be exact. In other words, symmetry must be broken dynamically or by the introduction of small non-invariant terms in the action, usually justified by quantum corrections (for example see [7–12]). For other scale invariant cosmological models see [13–16].

A recent proposal, where scale-invariance appears as a global symmetry, is the classical scalar-tensor theory studied in [1]. Here, the Lagrangian is composed by scale-invariant operators built on combinations of R, R^2, and a scalar field ϕ with its standard kinetic term. The dynamical analysis of the equations of motion in the Jordan frame reveals that the system has only two fixed points. The first, unstable, correspond to a (quasi) de Sitter spacetime with an arbitrary small scalar field. The second, stable, correspond to damped oscillations of the Hubble parameter and of the scalar field around fixed values. The path from the unstable to the stable point corresponds to an arbitrarily long inflationary phase (depending loosely upon the initial conditions) followed by the damped oscillations. The equilibrium value of ϕ determines a fundamental mass scale, which emerges dynamically. Thus the breaking of the global scaling symmetries is able to generate a mass scale that can be identified with the Planck mass. Finally, the oscillations of the Hubble parameter and of the scalar field finally allow the excitation of the Standard Model fields and the reheating of the Universe. The results obtained in [1] show that observables are consistent with observations, at least in the Jordan frame.

In this paper we aim at analyzing carefully the dynamics of the system in the Einstein frame, where the comparison with more conventional inflationary models is straightforward. In particular, we focus on two aspects. The first is the inflationary phase: in the Einstein frame formulation we have two scalar fields at play (one is the so-called scalaron, springing from the metric redefinition) and, technically speaking, the model belongs to the class of hybrid inflation [17].

However, from the analysis in the Jordan frame we desume that the second scalar field is to be considered, in first approximation, as a "spectator" field, so it is the scalaron only that drives the quasi-exponential expansion. Within this approximation, we compute the spectral indices and compare them to Planck data. The second aspect concerns preheating. In the Einstein frame, both the

scalaron and the original scalar field undergo damped oscillations at the end of inflation. In the hypothesis that the Standard Model fields are coupled to these oscillating quantities, we show that there are at least three different and efficient particle production channels that can reheat the Universe after inflation.

This paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we present the main features of the model studied in [1] together with the formulation in the Einstein frame (which results useful in the calculation of cosmological parameters). In 3 we study, through a fixed point analysis of the equations of motion, the dynamical evolution of the model in a FLRW metric. Subsequently, in section 4 the inflationary analysis is carried out at second order in the slow-roll parameters. This allows the comparison of our observables with the Planck data. In section 5 we show how the model allows for an efficient energy transfer from inflationary fields to matter fields in the so-called preheating scenario. We conclude in 6 with some considerations.

2 Scale-invariant inflationary model

The action considered in [1] reads

$$I = \int \left[\frac{\alpha}{36} R^2 + \frac{\xi}{6}\phi^2 R - \frac{1}{2}\,\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 \right] \sqrt{-g}\,\mathrm{d}^4x\,, \tag{1}$$

with α, ξ, and λ being positive dimensionless parameters, and $\phi(x)$ a real scalar field. The action (1) is invariant under the active dilatation transformation

$$x' = \ell^{-1}x, \quad g'_{\mu\nu}(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(\ell x), \quad \phi'(x) = \ell\phi(\ell x)\,, \tag{2}$$

where $\ell > 0$. Additionally, the rigid Weyl transformation

$$g'_{\mu\nu}(x) = L^2 g_{\mu\nu}(x), \quad \phi'(x) = L^{-1}\phi(x)\,, \tag{3}$$

with $L > 0$ also leaves I unchanged. The case $L < 0$, in principle admissible, results to be nothing but a combination of a Weyl and a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ transformation $\phi \to -\phi$.

Finally, the combined symmetry transformation parametrized by (ℓ, L) spans a two-dimensional Abelian group. Evidently, the two underlining symmetries are related by the invariance of the action under coordinate transformation, realised by a combined transformation with $\ell = L$. Thus, a mechanism able to break the Weyl symmetry (but leaving the diffeomorphism invariance unaffected) will inevitably break the dilation symmetry and vice versa. As a consequence, the action cannot contain a cosmological constant or any other coefficient with dimensionality different from zero.

The classical effective potential for the scalar field corresponds to

$$\mathscr{V}(\phi) = \frac{\xi}{6}\phi^2 R - \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4\,. \tag{4}$$

When R is constant, it has one local maximum and one local minimum, respectively located at

$$\phi = 0, \qquad \phi_0 = \pm\sqrt{\frac{\xi R}{3\lambda}}\,. \tag{5}$$

This structure guarantees the presence of a symmetry breaking mechanism in the model. Indeed, it has been shown in [1] that, in a Universe with infinite spacetime volume and constant R, the scale symmetry is broken whenever the field ϕ relax to one of the minima and generates a mass scale identified by ϕ_0. In particular, it has been assessed that this instance occurs in a flat Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW).

A necessary requirement for (1) to describe post-inflationary physics is to reduce to the standard Einstein-Hilbert action after symmetry breaking. Thus, the quartic self-interaction term for the scalar field and the quadratic term for the Ricci scalar need to cancel out, implying $\alpha = \xi^2/\lambda$. The model, therefore, is left with only two free parameters. Finally, the non-minimal coupling term in the action, at the stable point, reduces to $M_p^2 R/2$ (where M_p is the Planck mass) provided that $M_p = \sqrt{\xi/3}\phi_0$.

As any other scalar-tensor theory, the model under consideration can be brought to the Einstein frame through a redefinition of the metric of the form $g_{\mu\nu}(x) \to \Omega^2(x) g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ for some well-behaved function $\Omega(x)$. In this frame the inflationary analysis can be performed with standard techniques [18–20].

To proceed in this direction we write the action (1) in the equivalent representation

$$I = \int \left[\eta R - \frac{1}{2}\,\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - \frac{9}{\alpha}\left(\eta - \frac{\xi}{6}\phi^2\right)^2\right]\sqrt{-g}\,\mathrm{d}^4x \tag{6}$$

where η is a new scalar field with mass dimension 2. It should be clear that such a field appears as a constraint in (6) which, along with the equation of motion for η, is equivalent to the original action (1). The Einstein frame is obtained with the choice $\Omega^2(x) = 2\eta(x)M^{-2}$, where the arbitrary mass scale M is introduced for dimensional consistency only [21, 22]. As one would expect from the scale symmetry of the model, it can be shown that such scale is a redundant parameter of the action, thus no observable quantity depends on it. In particular, such parameter ought not to be confused with the dynamically generated mass scale after symmetry breaking (which, in the Jordan frame, is identified with the Planck mass).

Together with the additional redefinition $\chi = \sqrt{6}M\log\Omega$ we obtain the Einstein frame action

$$I_E = \int \left[\frac{M^2}{2}R - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\chi\partial^\mu\chi - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\gamma\chi}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - U(\phi,\chi) - \Lambda M^2\right]\sqrt{-g}\,\mathrm{d}^4x\,, \tag{7}$$

where the potential $U(\phi,\chi)$ is defined as

$$U(\phi,\chi) = \phi^4 e^{-2\gamma\chi}\left(\frac{\lambda}{4} + \frac{\xi^2}{4\alpha}\right) - \frac{3\xi}{2\alpha\kappa^2}\phi^2 e^{-\gamma\chi}\,, \tag{8}$$

and where

$$\Lambda = \frac{9M^2}{4\alpha}, \qquad \gamma = \frac{1}{M}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\,. \tag{9}$$

The Einstein frame action has the advantage to disentangle the spin-2 and scalar degrees of freedom of the gravitational sector, whose appearance is explained by the presence of a quadratic term R^2 in the Jordan frame action [19]. The formulation of the theory in this frame has the disadvantage of presenting the kinetic term of the scalar field ϕ in a non-canonical form, hence the contribution of such field to the total energy is not manifest. Although in general it is always possible to define a new field $\Phi(\phi, \chi)$ with standard normalization, for our purposes this is not necessary.

By varying the action (7) with respect to the conformal metric (indicated by $g_{\mu\nu}$) one obtains the Einstein's equations

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2}R + g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda = \\ &= \frac{1}{M^2}\left[\partial_\mu\chi\partial_\nu\chi - g_{\mu\nu}\frac{(\partial\chi)^2}{2} + \left(\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - g_{\mu\nu}\frac{(\partial\phi)^2}{2}\right)e^{-\gamma\chi} - g_{\mu\nu}U\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The variation with respect to the scalar fields yields the Klein-Gordon equations

$$\Box\chi = \frac{\partial U}{\partial\chi} - \frac{\gamma}{2}e^{-\gamma\chi}\left(\partial\phi\right)^2\,, \tag{11}$$

$$\Box\phi = \frac{\partial U}{\partial\phi}e^{\gamma\chi} + \gamma\left(\partial\chi\right)^2\,. \tag{12}$$

Although these two last equations are highly entangled, the overall system of equations is much more manageable than in the Jordan frame. Complications arise in the Einstein frame solely due to the fact that one kinetic term is not canonical, so additional derivative couplings appear.

3 Global evolution

A viable inflationary model needs a sufficiently long phase of quasi-exponential accelerated expansion for the scale factor $a(t)$. To see if such a phase is present, we study the equations of motion in a flat homogeneous and isotropic FLRW background with metric $\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + a(t)^2\delta_{ij}\mathrm{d}x^i\mathrm{d}x^j$.

First, we express our set of equations in terms of the e-fold number $N \equiv \log a(t)$ and we set $\alpha = \xi^2/\lambda$ in order to recover general relativity at late times (see sec. 2). Additionally, it is more convenient to work with dimensionless variables

$$x \equiv \gamma\chi, \;\; y \equiv \gamma\chi', \;\; z \equiv \phi/M, \;\; w \equiv \phi'/M, \;\; h \equiv H/M \tag{13}$$

with $'$ being a shorthand notation for $\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}N$ and $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ being the Hubble function. By inserting these variables in the field equations (11), (12), and the

tt-component of (10) we find the first-order coupled system of equations given by

$$x' - y = 0\,, \tag{14}$$

$$y' + \left[\frac{h'}{h} + 3\right] y - \left[\frac{\lambda}{\xi}\frac{z^2}{h^2} + \frac{w^2}{3}\right] e^{-x} + \frac{2\lambda}{3}\frac{z^4}{h^2}e^{-2x} = 0\,, \tag{15}$$

$$z' - w = 0\,, \tag{16}$$

$$w' + \left[\frac{h'}{h} + 3 - y\right] w - \frac{3\lambda}{\xi h^2} z + \frac{2\lambda}{h^2} e^{-x} z^3 = 0\,, \tag{17}$$

$$h^2\left[1 - \frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{w^2}{3}e^{-x}\right] = \frac{\Lambda}{3M^2} + \frac{\lambda}{6}z^4 e^{-2x} - \frac{\lambda}{6\xi}z^2 e^{-x}\,. \tag{18}$$

Similarly to the case in the Jordan frame, this system admits two families of fixed points, namely solutions for the equation $(x', y', z', w') = 0$,corresponding to

$$(x, y, z, w) = (x_1, 0, 0, 0)\,, \qquad h = \pm\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}}{2\xi}\,, \tag{19}$$

$$(x, y, z, w) = \left(x_2, 0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{2\xi}}e^{\frac{x_2}{2}}, 0\right)\,, \qquad h = \pm\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}}{2\sqrt{2}\xi}\,. \tag{20}$$

where x_1 and x_2 are arbitrary numbers. As it will be shown below, (19) represents a saddle point, whereas (20) is a stable point of the dynamical system.

We now focus on the linearized solutions around those points. In this way we can verify the existence of inflationary solutions connecting a de Sitter Universe to a radiation-dominated Universe.

3.1 Unstable fixed point

We perturbatively expand around the fixed point (19) the variable $x = x_1 + \delta x$, keeping in mind that δx, y, z, $w \ll 1$ and x_1 is arbitrary. Retaining only linear terms in the equations and using the constant value of h^2 in the saddle point, we find two independent equations for δx and z, whose solutions are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta x(N) &= c_1 e^{-3N} + c_2 \\ z(N) &= e^{-\frac{3}{2}N}\left[c_3 e^{-lN/2} + c_4 e^{lN/2}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $l \equiv \sqrt{16\xi + 9}$ and c_i are constants of integration. Clearly δx has a constant and stable behaviour whilst $z = \phi/M$ drags the system away from equilibrium (if c_4 is non vanishing). Once again, the solutions mimic the corresponding results found in the Jordan frame.

3.2 Stable fixed point

To obtain the equations around the stable point, we set the new variables g and q such that

$$\phi = e^g M \qquad \phi' = q e^g M \tag{22}$$

and keep x and y as before so that

$$(x, y, z, w) \to (2\bar{g} + \log(2\xi/3), 0, \bar{g}, 0)\,, \tag{23}$$

whereas $\bar{g}$ has been defined in such a way that the arbitrary stable fixed point x_2 for x is $x_2 = 2\bar{g} + \log(2\xi/3)$. We then expand our functions as $\gamma\chi = x_2 + \delta x$ and $\phi = e^{\bar{g}}(1 + \delta g)M$. Plugging this parametrization in the above equations and keeping only linear terms, we find a system of two linear equations

$$\delta x'' + 3\delta x' + 4\,(\delta x - 2\delta g) = 0 \tag{24}$$

$$\delta g'' + 3\delta g' - 8\xi\,(\delta x - 2\delta g) = 0 \tag{25}$$

Again, we have utilized the constant form of h in the stable point. Just by looking at the equations we see that they have a symmetrical form. Indeed, a particular solution of (24) and (25) is given by $2\xi\delta x = \delta g + c$, with c constant. Actually, if it is required for the solution to approach the fixed point asymptotically for $N \to +\infty$, then it must be the case for c to vanish. If we employ these results, then the system becomes disentangled and its solution can be obtained as a superposition of exponential functions

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g(N) &= e^{-3/2N}\left[C_1 \sin(KN/2) + C_2 \cos(KN/2)\right] \\ \delta x(N) &= -\frac{e^{-3/2N}}{2\xi}\left[C_1 \sin(KN/2) + C_2 \cos(KN/2)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are integration constants and $K \equiv \sqrt{64\xi + 7}$. A general solution of the linear system around this fixed point would require four different initial conditions. However, since we are working with a particular solution, only two of these are necessary. This solution is manifestly stable and the same also applies to the most general solution, which shares similar oscillatory behaviour and damping factor.

4 Inflationary phase

The transition from a saddle point to a stable point allows for a phase of accelerated expansion $\ddot{a}/a > 0$ followed by a preheating stage of the Universe. Indeed, as we will see, with initial conditions close enough to the saddle point it is possible to obtain a long lasting inflationary trajectory (with H almost constant) that ends when the field ϕ starts to oscillate and drives the system towards the stable equilibrium point. At this stage, preheating is triggered by the damped oscillations of ϕ and χ close to the stable fixed point. The damped



Fig. 1 Top left: potential for the inflaton in the Einstein frame. The point (37) is displayed in red. Top right and bottom left: slow-roll parameters ϵ_1 and $\epsilon_2\epsilon_3$ (equations (34), (35), (36)) as functions of the inflaton expectation value x. The shaded regions indicate where the slow-roll parameters become larger than 1. Bottom right: numerical (red) and approximated (blue) evolution of x during inflation. The shaded region is where inflation ends. In all the plots we choose $z = 0.5$, $\lambda = 10^{-1}$, and $\xi = 10$.

oscillations of χ play the role of the damped oscillations of H in the Jordan frame.

For what concerns inflation, the acceleration condition written solely in terms of the Hubble function is

$$\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = -\frac{3}{2}\left(1 + \frac{P}{\rho}\right) \ll 1\,, \tag{27}$$

where the energy density ρ and the pressure P have been defined as

$$\rho = \frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2} + \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2}e^{-\gamma\chi} + (U + \Lambda M^2)\,, \quad P = \rho - 2(U + \Lambda M^2)\,. \tag{28}$$

Recalling the form of the solution around the unstable point in (19), we immediately understand that the condition $\rho \approx -P$ is obtained for $|\phi/M| \ll 1$, $|\dot{\phi}/M^2| \ll 1$ and an arbitrary value of χ. The same conclusion is also drawn in the Jordan frame.

In this regime, the effective action during inflation can be written in the simpler form

$$I_E = \int \left[\frac{M^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi - V(\phi, \chi) \right] \sqrt{-g}\, \mathrm{d}^4 x \,, \tag{29}$$

where $V(\phi, \chi) = U + M^2 \Lambda$, with U and Λ defined by eqs. (8) and (9) respectively. The expression (29) has now the form of an inflationary action with a single scalar field, hence it can be studied with the usual methods in the slow-roll approximation (see e.g. [23, 24]).

In particular, following [25, 26], we introduce the hierarchy of Hubble flow parameters defined by

$$\epsilon_{n+1} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}N} |\epsilon_n|, \quad \epsilon_0 \equiv \frac{H_{\mathrm{in}}}{H} \,. \tag{30}$$

With this formalism the acceleration condition reads $\epsilon_1 < 1$ and inflation is conventionally considered over when $\epsilon_1 = 1$. Additionally, the slow-roll approximation entails $\epsilon_n \ll 1$, for every n. When such condition is satisfied the Friedman equation together with the field equation for χ allow the ϵ_i to be expressed as functions of V and its derivatives.

$$\epsilon_1 \simeq \frac{M^2}{2} \left[\frac{V_\chi}{V} \right]^2 , \tag{31}$$

$$\epsilon_2 \simeq 2M^2 \left(\left[\frac{V_\chi}{V} \right]^2 - \frac{V_{\chi\chi}}{V} \right) , \tag{32}$$

$$\epsilon_3 \epsilon_2 \simeq 2M^4 \left(V_{\chi\chi\chi} \frac{V_\chi}{V^2} - 3 \frac{V_{\chi\chi}}{V} \left[\frac{V_\chi}{V} \right]^2 + 2 \left[\frac{V_\chi}{V} \right]^4 \right) , \tag{33}$$

where the subscript indicates the derivative with respect to χ. Our aim is to obtain second order results for the cosmological parameters, hence we focus only on the first three ϵ_i's. In terms of the variables defined in (13) and the potential (8), we find

$$\epsilon_1 \simeq \frac{4\xi^2 z^4 \left(3e^x - 2\xi z^2\right)^2}{3\left(9e^{2x} - 6\xi e^x z^2 + 2\xi^2 z^4\right)^2} \,, \tag{34}$$

$$\epsilon_2 \simeq \frac{8\xi e^x z^2 \left(9e^{2x} - 12\xi e^x z^2 + 2\xi^2 z^4\right)}{\left(9e^{2x} - 6\xi e^x z^2 + 2\xi^2 z^4\right)^2} \,, \tag{35}$$

$$\epsilon_3 \simeq \frac{4\xi z^2 \left(2\xi z^2 - 3e^x\right)\left(2\xi^2 z^4 - 9e^{2x}\right)}{3\left(9e^{2x} - 12\xi e^x z^2 + 2\xi^2 z^4\right)} \frac{\left(9e^{2x} - 18\xi e^x z^2 + 2\xi^2 z^4\right)}{\left(9e^{2x} - 6\xi e^x z^2 + 2\xi^2 z^4\right)^2} \,. \tag{36}$$

The value of x at the end of inflation is obtained by imposing $\epsilon_1 = 1$, which yields

$$x_{end} = \log \left[\frac{\xi z^2}{9} Q \right] \tag{37}$$



Fig. 2 Left: (n_s, r) plane comparison between the scale invariant model (red), the Starobinsky model (blue), the first oder result (47) (orange), and the 68% and 95% CL regions given by Planck [6]. Right: (n_s, α_s) plane comparison between the same models. There is no first order result in this case. In both plots the theoretical predictions are plotted for $45 < \Delta N_* < 75$.

where $Q \equiv 3 - \sqrt{3} + \left[6\left(\sqrt{3}-1\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 3.364$. The value of z does not modulate the shape of the potential and of the slow-roll parameters, but it only shifts their values along the x axis. This is also manifest in the expression for x_{end}.

During the slow-roll phase, the trajectory of the inflaton can be integrated analytically. Specifically, the equation of motion for $x(N)$ is invertible and thus it yields the number of e-folds since the beginning of inflation as a function of the potential

$$\begin{aligned} N - N_i &= -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{1}{M}\int_{x_i}^{x}\frac{V(y)}{V_\chi(y)}\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{3}{4}\left[(x-x_i) - \frac{3}{\xi z^2}\left(e^x - e^{x_i}\right) + \log\left(\frac{2\xi z^2 - 3e^{x_i}}{2\xi z^2 - 3e^x}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

With this result we are able to make more precise the assumption $|z| = |\phi/M| \ll 1$. Indeed, expression (38) admits a power series expansion in $s \equiv \frac{2}{3}\xi z^2 e^{-x(N)}$ provided such quantity remains much smaller than 1 during inflation. It is manifest from Fig [1] that, due to the shape of $\epsilon_1(x)$, inflation proceeds from large values of x to smaller values. Thus, we conclude that $x(N) \geq x_{end}$ or equivalently $e^{x(N)} \geq \xi z^2 Q/9$. The latter inequality guarantees the previous approximation to be sensible, since it implies that during slow-roll $s \leq 6/Q \approx 1.78$. The equality is reached when $\epsilon_1 = 1$ hence, although our approximation is due to fail during the very last stages of inflation, it still remains a reasonable simplification and will be adopted throughout the next sections.

By taking the condition $s \ll 1$ on board, we can neglect at first order the first and third terms in (38) and invert the relation to obtain an explicit expression for $x(N)$. Moreover, it is more convenient to fix the final condition

at the end of inflation N_{end} to be $x(N_{end}) = x_{end}$ thus avoiding the need for the initial condition x_i. We define ΔN_* as the difference between N_{end} and the horizon crossing time N_*, meaning that $\Delta N_* = N_{end} - N_*$. With this definition and the approximation for small s we obtain the value of the inflaton at horizon crossing

$$y_* = \log\left[e^{y_{end}} + \frac{4\xi z^2}{9}\Delta N_*\right] = \log\left[\frac{z^2\xi}{9}(Q + 4\Delta N_*)\right] \tag{39}$$

In principle, in the last equality we could have neglected Q, since generally it results smaller than $\Delta N_* \in [40, 70]$. However, in order to avoid additional unnecessary approximations we decide to keep that term as well.

At this point we are ready to characterize the scalar and tensor power spectra of cosmological perturbations, indicated respectively as $\mathscr{P}_\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathscr{P}_t$. These quantities need to be evaluated at the conformal time η_* at which the pivot comoving wave number k_* crosses the Hubble horizon. Of particular interest are the spectral indexes [27, 28]

$$n_s \equiv 1 + \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}\log\mathscr{P}_\mathcal{R}}{\mathrm{d}\log k}\right|_{k_*}, \quad n_t \equiv \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}\log\mathscr{P}_t}{\mathrm{d}\log k}\right|_{k_*}, \tag{40}$$

their runnings, and the tensor-to-scalar ratio

$$\alpha_s \equiv \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\log\mathscr{P}_\mathcal{R}}{\mathrm{d}(\log k)^2}\right|_{k_*}, \quad \alpha_t \equiv \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\log\mathscr{P}_t}{\mathrm{d}(\log k)^2}\right|_{k_*}, \quad r \equiv \left.\frac{\mathscr{P}_t}{\mathscr{P}_\mathcal{R}}\right|_{k_*}. \tag{41}$$



Fig. 3 Left and right: comparison between the scale invariant (blue) and Starobinsky model (red) in the (n_s, r) and (n_s, α_s) for $45 < \Delta N_* < 75$.

All these coefficients need to be expressed in terms of the slow roll parameters ϵ_i evaluated at x_*. By doing so we obtain the predictions of the scale

invariant model at second order (see [29–35])

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{2}{\Delta N_*} + \frac{Q-3-4C}{2\Delta N_*^2} + \frac{\frac{9}{4} + \frac{3Q}{4} - 3C + CQ - \frac{Q^2}{8}}{\Delta N_*^3} \tag{42}$$

$$n_t = -\frac{3}{2\Delta N_*^2} - \frac{3C + 3 - \frac{3Q}{4}}{\Delta N_*^3} \tag{43}$$

$$\alpha_s = -\frac{2}{\Delta N_*^2} + \frac{Q-3}{\Delta N_*^3} \tag{44}$$

$$\alpha_t = -\frac{3}{\Delta N_*^3} \tag{45}$$

$$r = \frac{12}{\Delta N_*^2} + \frac{24C - 6Q}{\Delta N_*^3} \tag{46}$$

where $C = \gamma_E + \log 2 - 2 \approx -0.7296$ and γ_E is the Euler constant. As it was already observed in [1], at first order the scale invariant model and the Starobinsky model in the Einstein frame [36] present the same spectral indexes and tensor-to-scalar ratio. In particular, in both cases the predicted relation, at first order, between n_s and r is given by

$$n_s \simeq 1 - \sqrt{r/3} \tag{47}$$

Hence, the two theories cannot be experimentally distinguished unless second order contributions are taken into account. The results (42)-(46) indeed provide a deviation from (47), and also from the Starobinsky's theory at the same order. A comparison between our results, Starobinsky's predictions, and the most recent observational values obtained by Planck [6] is presented in Fig [2], and with more details in Fig [3]. It is interesting to note how both the tensor-to-scalar ratio r (46) and the scalar running α_s (44) are completely within the Planck allowed regions. We conclude that the scale invariant model is perfectly consistent with the latest experimental constraints on the cosmological parameters.

5 Preheating

After inflation has ended, the system approaches a stable configuration through damped oscillations, described by eqs. (26), which allow an energy transfer from the two scalar fields to the Standard Model fields, opening the way to a preheating phase of the Universe ([37], [38]). Without an effective amplification of the Standard Model fields (and the consequent realization of a thermal state), our model would be doomed. Indeed, the Hubble function converges to the constant value (20), which is incompatible with a subsequent radiation era. However, an efficient mechanism of particle production can fill the Universe with massless radiation that takes over the matter content of the Universe and drive it towards the standard radiation-dominated epoch. In order to check whether such a mechanism can arise, we study the dynamics of a new

scalar field ψ, minimally coupled to the metric, as representative of a Standard Model field. We further postulate a scale invariant interaction between ψ and ϕ, with dimensionless coupling constant g^2 (here we do not exclude the possibility of having $g^2 < 0$). With these hypothesis, the effective action during preheating is

$$I_E = -\int e^{-\gamma\chi}\left[\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\psi\partial^\mu\psi + \frac{g^2}{2}\psi^2\phi^2\right]\sqrt{-g}\,\mathrm{d}^4x\,, \tag{48}$$

where the exponential factor $e^{-\gamma\chi}$ appears because we are working in the Einstein frame. For convenience, we define a new field f (whose Fourier transform is $f_k(t)$) in such a way that $\psi_k(t) = a^{-3/2}e^{\gamma\chi/2}f_k(t)$. Finally, we use the e-fold number N as time together with the variables defined in (13). As a result we find the equation

$$f_k'' + \frac{h'}{h}f_k' + \Omega_k^2 f_k = 0\,, \tag{49}$$

where the time-dependent frequency Ω_k is

$$\Omega_k^2 \equiv p_k^2 + \frac{g^2z^2}{h^2} + \frac{x'}{2}\left[\frac{h'}{h} + 3\right] - \frac{x'^2}{4} + \frac{x''}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{h'}{h} - \frac{9}{4}\,, \tag{50}$$

and $p_k \equiv k/(ahM)$. This differential equation needs to be solved once the homogeneous solutions for x, z, and h around the stable fixed point (26) are provided. However, analytical solutions can be obtained only in certain approximations. In this respect, we first note that h'/h is very small close to the stable configuration (this is also verified in numerical calculations) thus can be safely neglected in the following discussion. Furthermore, since preheating is supposed to last for very few e-folds, the damping factor in (26) can be safely neglected. With these considerations, x and z can be written as

$$x = x_0 + \bar{x}\sin\left(NK/2\right)\,, \quad z = z_0 + \bar{z}\sin\left(NK/2\right)\,. \tag{51}$$

The field amplification of f_k is expected to happen in the so-called *non-adiabatic limit*, where the frequency Ω_k varies quickly with respect to N. Indeed, in this regime the equation under investigation can present an exponential growth for certain k's. In our model this process is allowed in two different ways that we call χ-amplification and ϕ-amplification.

5.1 χ-amplification

Lets assume that, after inflation, the amplitude of the x oscillations is much larger that the one of z (i.e. $\bar{x} \gg \bar{z}$). This approximation is forbidden by solution (26) since in general $\xi > 1$ but, as already argued, such expression represents just a particular solution of the equations, and in general additional modes with different amplitudes appear. Further, we consider $\bar{x}$ to be of order one and note that $K \gg 1$, so the relevant terms in the frequency (50) are

$$\Omega_k^2 \simeq p_k^2 - K^2 d\left[\sin(NK/2) + d - d^2\sin^2(NK/2)\right]\,, \tag{52}$$

where $d \equiv \bar{x}/4$. For small values of p_k the adiabatic limit can be broken when the last three terms in (52) vanish. This happens when N is such that

$$\frac{\bar{N}K}{2} = \arcsin\left[\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1+4d^2}}{2d}\right] + 2\pi n \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{53}$$

Our choice is to consider the + sign solution for $n = 0$. Equation (52) can be expanded around this $\bar{N}$ at first order, considering $p_k \ll 1$ and constant. This yields the equation

$$f_k''(\tau) + \left(p_k^2 - \tau \mathscr{A}^2\right) f_k(\tau) = 0 \tag{54}$$

We have introduced the variable $\tau \equiv (N - \bar{N})$ and the constant

$$\mathscr{A}^2 \equiv \frac{K^3}{2\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{(1+4d^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} - (1+4d^2)} \tag{55}$$

The general solution of (54) is expressed in terms of Airy functions of first and second kind [39]

$$f_k(\tau) = C_1 \mathrm{Ai}\left(s(\tau)\right) + C_2 \mathrm{Bi}\left(s(\tau)\right) \tag{56}$$

with $s(\tau) \equiv \left(\mathscr{A}^2\tau - p_k^2\right)\mathscr{A}^{-4/3}$. The Ai function is exponentially suppressed for large and positive values of s, whilst it oscillates for negative values of the argument. On the contrary, Bi has an exponential growth for positive arguments. Thanks to this unstable behaviour, in the asymptotic limit for late times our solution has the approximate from

$$f_k(\tau) \simeq \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{\pi\sqrt{s(\tau)}}} \exp\left[\frac{2}{3}s^{3/2}(\tau)\right] \tag{57}$$

which results a good approximation for $s(\tau) \gtrsim 2$. For reasonable values of $\bar{x} \sim 1$ we obtain that $s(\tau)$ becomes of order one when $\tau \sim (8\sqrt{\xi})^{-1}$, which in general is a really small value. Therefore, the power series in τ utilized in (54) is still valid even when the asymptotic limit in $s(\tau)$ is taken.

The suppressed amplification for modes far within the horizon ($k \gg aH$) is explained by our solution: for large p_k the argument of the Airy functions becomes negative, thus providing a stable oscillatory behaviour.

5.2 ϕ-amplification

The remaining limit to analyze is the case $\bar{z} \gg \bar{x}$, where the oscillations of ϕ drive preheating. In this case the adiabatic approximation is violated for $\phi \to 0$. This situation is possible only if $\bar{z}$ is at least as large as z_0 so we study the limit $\bar{z} \gg z_0$ and we consider a small coupling constant so that $gz_0 \ll 1$. The field ϕ vanishes at $N = 0$, or at a point $N = \bar{N}$ if the sine function has a phase. We then expand equation (49) around $\bar{N}$, obtaining

$$f_k''(\tau) + \left(\upsilon_k^2 + \tau^2\zeta^2\right) f_k(\tau) = 0\,, \tag{58}$$

where we define again $\tau \equiv N - \bar{N}$, $\upsilon_k^2 \equiv p_k^2 + g^2 z_0^2 h^{-2}$, and $\zeta \equiv g\bar{z}K/(2h)$. Actually, equation (58) has the same form of the Schrödinger equation for the scattering of a particle through a parabolic potential (with opposite sign). Its general analytic solution is given by a combination of parabolic cylinder functions [39].

This equation has been thoroughly studied in the context of preheating in the past and it is known to lead to a conspicuous particles production. Indeed for $g^2 > 0$, the periodic scattering through the potential barrier produces amplification as a superposition of many small increments. From the analytical solution one can obtain the ratio between the number densities n_ψ and n_ϕ of the reheated field ψ and of the scalar field ϕ respectively (see [37], [40] for details). After multiple ϕ oscillations we obtain $n_\psi/n_\phi \sim 3^{NK/2\pi}$. This exponential behaviour suggests that few e-folds are enough to transfer most of the inflaton energy into reheated fields. Our analysis, however, breaks down after few oscillations when the energy densities of ϕ and ψ become of the same order. From that point on back-reaction effects on the ϕ field are to be expected, thus solution (26) no longer holds.

Interestingly, the limit $\bar{z} \gg \bar{x}$ also grants the possibility for a tachyonic preheating scenario with an even broader instability. Indeed, for $g^2 < 0$ the analytical solution of (58) has a exponential asymptotic behaviour for late times

$$f_k(\tau) \simeq C_k \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\upsilon_k^2}{2|\zeta|}} \exp\left[\frac{|\zeta|\tau^2}{2}\right], \tag{59}$$

with C_k being a coefficient depending on k. The field amplitude grows effectively only after $\tau \sim \zeta^{-1/2}$ e-folds. We require for this value to be small in order to not spoil the validity of the series expansion in (58). For typical values of the parameters $\lambda < 10^{-1}$, $\xi > 1$, and $\bar{z} \simeq 1$ we have $\zeta^{-1/2} \ll 1$ for a vast range of g values. After this amount of time, however, modes with large k are not amplified as effectively as smaller modes. For instance, from the explicit form of C_k it can be shown that the amplitude of larger modes at $\bar{\tau} = \zeta^{-1/2}$ is suppressed exponentially compared to a smaller mode q

$$\left|\frac{f_k(\bar{\tau})}{f_q(\bar{\tau})}\right| \sim 2^{-\frac{p_k^2 - p_q^2}{4\zeta}}. \tag{60}$$

Similar results also apply for the comoving number density and energy density of the amplified field.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we investigated, in the Einstein frame, the inflationary properties of a minimal model for quadratic gravity plus a non-minimally coupled scalar field with spontaneously broken scale symmetry.

A sufficiently long inflationary epoch is achieved as the dynamical system flows from an unstable to a stable configuration where the underlying scale symmetry is broken. As usual, in the Einstein frame, the scalar degree of

freedom associated with the quadratic Ricci scalar term in the original action in the Jordan frame, plays the role of the inflaton.

We first computed the spectral indexes as well as their runnings and we found that they are fully consistent with the latest observational constraints. Moreover, as an upshot of scale invariance, they turn out to be independent of the parameters of the model. At first order in the slow-roll parameters, our model is observationally indistinguishable from Starobinsky's inflation. We have shown that, in order to break the degeneracy between the two models, we need to go to the second order in the slow-roll parameters.

In the second part of the paper we studied possible reheating mechanisms. We found that, when the system approaches a stable configuration after inflation, several preheating channels allow for a quick energy transfer to the Standard Models fields. Indeed, an exponential amplification can be triggered by both χ and ϕ with a similar efficiency, even when tachyonic couplings are present.

We conclude that the reheating mechanism is satisfactory in the Einstein frame and that our model is viable. There are however several questions that naturally arise concerning this model. For instance, the inflationary phase has been studied for a small and almost constant field ϕ. Technically speaking, however, the model in the Einstein frame is a two-field inflationary model and it would be very interesting to see what happens when the field ϕ is left to vary. This is somehow equivalent to study the amplitude of the basin of initial conditions, around the unstable fixed point, that leads to a sufficiently long inflationary stage.

Another interesting possibility is the generalization of the minimal scale-invariant action (1) to include other scale-invariant operators or a conformally invariant electromagnetic field to see if some kind of warm inflation [41] is also possible. Finally, one might expect quantum one-loop corrections to occur near the inflationary region, close to the unstable fixed point. We leave these questions for future work.

Acknowledgements We thank L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini for valuable discussions. G. T. wishes to thank the Department of Physics of the University of Trento, where part of this work was done.

References

1. M. Rinaldi and L. Vanzo, "Inflation and reheating in theories with spontaneous scale invariance symmetry breaking," *Physical Review D*, vol. 94, July 2016.
2. A. H. Guth, "Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems," *Physical Review D*, vol. 23, no. 2, p. 347, 1981.
3. J. L. Tonry, B. P. Schmidt, B. Barris, P. Candia, P. Challis, A. Clocchiatti, A. L. Coil, A. V. Filippenko, P. Garnavich, C. Hogan, *et al.*, "Cosmological

results from high-z supernovae," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 594, no. 1, p. 1, 2003.
4. A. G. Riess, L.-G. Strolger, S. Casertano, H. C. Ferguson, B. Mobasher, B. Gold, P. J. Challis, A. V. Filippenko, S. Jha, W. Li, *et al.*, "New hubble space telescope discoveries of type ia supernovae at z$\geq$ 1," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 659, no. 1, p. 98, 2007.
5. E. Komatsu, K. Smith, J. Dunkley, C. Bennett, B. Gold, G. Hinshaw, N. Jarosik, D. Larson, M. Nolta, L. Page, *et al.*, "Seven-year wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe," *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, vol. 192, no. 2, p. 18, 2011.
6. P. A. R. A. et al. (Planck Collaboration), "Planck 2015 results. XX. Constraints on inflation," *arXiv:1502.02114 [astro-ph]*, Feb. 2015. arXiv: 1502.02114.
7. A. Starobinsky, "A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 91, pp. 99–102, Mar. 1980.
8. F. Cooper and G. Venturi, "Cosmology and broken scale invariance," *Physical Review D*, vol. 24, no. 12, p. 3338, 1981.
9. D. Gorbunov and A. Tokareva, "Scale-invariance as the origin of dark radiation?," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 739, pp. 50–55, 2014.
10. G. Turchetti and G. Venturi, "Gravitation and broken scale invariance," *Il Nuovo Cimento A (1971-1996)*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 221–228, 1981.
11. M. Rinaldi, G. Cognola, L. Vanzo, and S. Zerbini, "Inflation in scale-invariant theories of gravity," *Physical Review D*, vol. 91, no. 12, p. 123527, 2015.
12. M. Rinaldi, L. Vanzo, S. Zerbini, and G. Venturi, "Inflationary quasiscale-invariant attractors," *Physical Review D*, vol. 93, no. 2, p. 024040, 2016.
13. A. Padilla, D. Stefanyszyn, and M. Tsoukalas, "Generalized scale invariant theories," *Physical Review D*, vol. 89, no. 6, p. 065009, 2014.
14. E. N. Saridakis and M. Tsoukalas, "Bi-scalar modified gravity and cosmology with conformal invariance," *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2016, no. 04, p. 017, 2016.
15. K. Kannike, G. Hütsi, L. Pizza, A. Racioppi, M. Raidal, A. Salvio, and A. Strumia, "Dynamically induced planck scale and inflation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1502.01334*, 2015.
16. K. Kannike, A. Racioppi, and M. Raidal, "Linear inflation from quartic potential," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.05423*, 2015.
17. A. Linde, "Hybrid inflation," *Physical Review D*, vol. 49, no. 2, p. 748, 1994.
18. T. Futamase and K.-i. Maeda, "Chaotic inflationary scenario of the Universe with a nonminimally coupled inflatonfield," *Physical Review D*, vol. 39, no. 2, p. 399, 1989.
19. A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, "f(R) Theories," *Living Reviews in Relativity*, vol. 13, 2010.
20. A. D. Felice, S. Tsujikawa, J. Elliston, and R. Tavakol, "Chaotic inflation in modified gravitational theories," *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, vol. 2011, no. 08, p. 021, 2011.

21. K.-i. Maeda, "Towards the Einstein-Hilbert action via conformal transformation," *Physical Review D*, vol. 39, no. 10, p. 3159, 1989.
22. D. Wands, "Extended gravity theories and the EinsteinHilbert action," *Class. Quantum Grav.*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 269, 1994.
23. J. Martin, C. Ringeval, and V. Vennin, "Encyclopdia Inflationaris," *Physics of the Dark Universe*, vol. 5-6, pp. 75–235, Dec. 2014.
24. A. Linde, "Inflationary Cosmology," in *Inflationary Cosmology* (M. Lemoine, J. Martin, and P. Peter, eds.), no. 738 in Lecture Notes in Physics, pp. 1–54, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-74353-8_1.
25. A. R. Liddle, P. Parsons, and J. D. Barrow, "Formalizing the slow-roll approximation in inflation," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 50, pp. 7222–7232, Dec. 1994.
26. D. J. Schwarz, C. A. Terrero-Escalante, and A. A. Garcia, "Higher order corrections to primordial spectra from cosmological inflation," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 517, pp. 243–249, Oct. 2001.
27. V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman, and R. H. Brandenberger, "Theory of cosmological perturbations," *Physics Reports*, vol. 215, pp. 203–333, June 1992.
28. J. Martin, "Inflation and precision cosmology," *Brazilian Journal of Physics*, vol. 34, pp. 1307 – 1321, 12 2004.
29. J. Martin, C. Ringeval, and V. Vennin, "K-inflationary power spectra at second order," *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, vol. 2013, no. 06, p. 021, 2013.
30. J. Beltrn Jimenez, M. Musso, and C. Ringeval, "Exact mapping between tensor and most general scalar power spectra," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 88, p. 043524, Aug. 2013.
31. R. Casadio, F. Finelli, M. Luzzi, and G. Venturi, "Higher order slow-roll predictions for inflation," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 625, pp. 1–6, Oct. 2005.
32. J. Choe, J.-O. Gong, and E. D. Stewart, "Second order general slow-roll power spectrum," *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, vol. 2004, no. 07, p. 012, 2004.
33. J. Martin and D. J. Schwarz, "WKB approximation for inflationary cosmological perturbations," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 67, p. 083512, Apr. 2003.
34. R. Casadio, F. Finelli, M. Luzzi, and G. Venturi, "Improved WKB analysis of slow-roll inflation," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 72, p. 103516, Nov. 2005.
35. E. D. Stewart and J.-O. Gong, "The density perturbation power spectrum to second-order corrections in the slow-roll expansion," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 510, pp. 1–9, June 2001.
36. A. Kehagias, A. Moradinezhad Dizgah, and A. Riotto, "Remarks on the Starobinsky model of inflation and its descendants," *Physical Review D*, vol. 89, Feb. 2014.
37. L. Kofman, A. Linde, and A. A. Starobinsky, "Towards the theory of reheating after inflation," *Physical Review D*, vol. 56, no. 6, p. 3258, 1997.
38. R. Allahverdi, R. Brandenberger, F.-Y. Cyr-Racine, and A. Mazumdar, "Reheating in inflationary cosmology: theory and applications," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1001.2600*, 2010.

39. M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions: with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, vol. 55. Courier Corporation, 1964.
40. V. Mukhanov, *Physical foundations of cosmology*. Cambridge university press, 2005.
41. A. Berera, "Warm inflation," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 75, no. 18, p. 3218, 1995.